arXiv:1606.07336v1 [cs.DC] 23 Jun 2016

Covariance estimation for vertically partitioned data in a distributed environment

Aruna Govada* and Sanjay K. Sahay†

Department of Computer Science and Information System, BITS, Pilani, K. K. Birla Goa Campus, NH-17B, By Pass Road, Zuarinagar-403726, Goa, India

Abstract

The major sources of abundant data is constantly expanding with the available data collection methodologies in various applications - medical, insurance, scientific, bio-informatics and business. These data sets may be distributed geographically, rich in size and as well as dimensions also. To analyze these data sets to find out the hidden patterns, it is required to download the data to a centralized site which is a challenging task in terms of the limited bandwidth available and computationally also expensive. The covariance matrix is one of the method to estimate the relation between any two dimensions. In this paper we propose a communication efficient algorithm to estimate the covariance matrix in a distributed manner. The global covariance matrix is computed by merging the local covariance matrices using a distributed approach. The results show that it is exactly same as centralized method with good speed-up in terms of computation. The reason for speed-up is because of the parallel construction of local covariances and distributing the cross covariances among the nodes so that the load is balanced. The results are analyzed by considering Mfeat data set on the various partitions which addresses the scalability also.

Keyword: *Parallel/Distributed Computing, Covariance matrix, Vertical Partition*

*garuna@goa.bits-pilani.ac.in
†ssahay@goa.bits-pilani.ac.in

1 Introduction

Ongoing projects and future projects in various disciplines like earth sciences, astronomy, climate variability , cancer research (e.g. CORAL, SWOT, WISE, LSST, SKA, JASD, AACR)[1][2][3][4][5][6][7] are destined to produce the enormous catalogs which will be geographically distributed. As the amount of data available at various geographically distributed sources is increasing rapidly, traditional centralized techniques for performing data analytics are proving to be insufficient for handling this data avalanche [8]. Downloading and processing all the data at a single location results in increased communication as well as infrastructural costs [9].

Bringing these massive data sets which are distributed geographically to a centralized site is almost impossible due to the limited bandwidth when compared with the size of the data. And also solving a problem with large number of dimensions at a central site is not practical as it is computationally expensive. Analyzing these massive data can not be achieved unless the algorithms are capable of handling the decentralized data [8].

These data sets might be distributed in two different ways either horizontally or vertically [10]. In Horizontal partition the number of attributes/dimensions are constant at all n different locations but the number of instances may vary. Whereas in vertical partition the number of instances are constant at all n different locations but number of dimensions may vary. In this paper the data is partitioned in vertical manner.

The analysis of these vertically partitioned geographically distributed data sets assume that the data should fit into main memory which is a challenge task in terms of scalability. Estimation of covariance matrix analyses how the data is related among the dimensions. The task of estimating the covariance matrix of the data sets demand the data to be available at one centralized site [15].

In this paper covariance matrix is estimated for vertically partitioned data in a decentralized manner without brining the data to a centralized site. The proposed distributed approach is compared with the centralized method by bringing the distributed data to one central site. The estimation of covariance matrix is achieved both in centralized and distributed approach. The experimental analysis shows how our distributed approach is better than the normal approach in terms of speed-up with exactly same solution. Results are analyzed by considering various partitions of Mfeat data set [18].

The rest of the organization of the paper is as follows. Section 2 introduces the related work. In Section 3 preliminaries and notations are briefly described.

In section 4 we present our distributed approach for distributed covariance matrix (DCM) and also discusses the speed-up of our approach when compared with centralized version. In section 5 we present the experimental analysis of our algorithm. At the end in section 6 the conclusions of the paper are mentioned.

2 Related Work

Estimation of covariance based on divide and conquer approach is discussed by Nik et.al in which the computational cost is reduced [11]. A regularization and blocking estimator of high dimensional covariance is discussed by et. al using Barndorff Nielson Hansen estimator [12]. Modified Cholesky decomposition and other decomposition methods are discussed for the estimation of covariance by Zheng Hao for high dimensional data with limited sample size [13]. Qi Guo et. al proposed a divide conquer approach based on feature space decomposition for classification [14]. The significance of distributed estimation of parameters over centralized method is discussed and belief propagation algorithm is investigated by Du Jain [15]. l1-regularized Gaussian maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) is discussed by Cho et.al in recovering a sparse inverse covariance matrix for high-dimensional data which statistically guarantees using a single machine [16]. Aruna et. al discussed the distributed approach for multi classification using SVM without bringing data to a centralized site.[17]

3 Preliminaries

3.1 Covariance

The statistical analysis of the data sets usually investigates the dimensions, to see if there is any relationship between them. covariance is themeasurement, to find out how much the dimensions vary from the mean with respect to each other.
The covariance of two dimensions X,Y can be compute as

$$cov(X,Y) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(X_i - \mu_x)(Y_i - \mu_y)}{n-1}$$

where μ_x and μ_y are the mean of the dimensions X and Y respectively.

3.2 Covariance Matrix

Covariance is always computed between the two dimensions. If the data contains more than two dimensions, there is a requirement to calculate more than one covariance measurement.

The standard way to get the covariance values between the different dimensions of the data set is to compute them all and put them in a matrix. The covariance matrix for a set of data with k dimensions is:

$$C_{k\times k} = (c_{i,j}, c_{i,j} = cov(Dim_i, Dim_j))$$

where $C_{k\times k}$ is a matrix with k rows and k columns, and Dim_i is the i^{th} dimension. If we have an k-dimensional data set, then the matrix is a square matrix of k dimensions and each value in the matrix is the computed covariance between two distinct dimensions.

Consider for an imaginary k dimensional data set, using the dimensions $l_1, l_2, l_3.....l_k$, Then, the covariance matrix has k rows and k columns, and the values are :

The *covariance Matrix* $C_{k\times k}$ is an $k \times k$ matrix which can be written as follows.

$$\begin{pmatrix} l_1l_1 & l_1l_2 & l_1l_3 & .. & .. & l_1l_k \\ l_2l_1 & l_2l_2 & l_2l_3 & .. & .. & l_2l_k \\ .. & .. & .. & .. & .. & .. \\ .. & .. & .. & .. & .. & .. \\ l_kl_1 & l_kl_2 & l_kl_3 & .. & .. & l_kl_k \end{pmatrix}$$

Along the main diagonal, the covariance value is between one of the dimensions and itself. These are nothing but the variances for that dimension.

The other point is that since $cov(l_1, l_2) = cov(l_2, l_1)$ the matrix is symmetrical about the main diagonal.

4 The proposed Approach

4.1 Distributed Covariance Matrix(DCM)

The data be distributed among t sites with equal number of instances but varied in number of dimensions i.e. vertically partitioned data.

1. Let the data is distributed among t sites and the sites are labeled as S_0, S_1, S_{t-1} .

$$[\mathbf{X}]_{l\times m} = (X_0, X_1, X_2, ...X_{t-1})$$

where data X_j is a $l \times m_j$ matrix residing at the site S_j and $m = \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} m_j$

2. Calculate the local covariances $C_{00}, C_{11}....C_{t-1t-1}$ at all t sites parallely.
3. If the number of sites are only 2 , Either send the corresponding data from S_0 to S_1 or from S_1 to S_0 and calculate the cross covariances.
4. If the number of sites are more than 2, Calculate the cross covariances C_{jk} by sending the corresponding data X_j of S_j to the site S_k as follows.
   - If the number of sites are even, $t = 2r$
     - for $k = 0$ to $r - 1$
       - j = immediate $r - 1$ predecessor sites
     - for $k = r$ to $t - 1$
       - j = immediate r predecessor sites
   - If the number of sites are odd, $t = 2r + 1$
     - for $k = 0$ to $t - 1$
       - j = immediate r predecessor sites
5. Merge the local and cross covariances to get the global covariance matrix.
6. Estimate the eigen components of the global covariance matrix.

The architecture of the proposed approach is shown in Figure 1, where the global covariance matrix is computed by merging the local and cross covariances.

4.2 Global Covariance Matrix

Let us consider 3 nodes n_0 , n_1, n_2. The node n_0 consists of two columns labeled by x,y. The node n_1 also consists of two columns labeled by z,w. The node n_2 consists of single column labeled by v. The covariance matrix by centralized approach would be (considering only upper triangular matrix as covariance is symmetric):

Algorithm 1 : DCM

INPUT: Data X_j of all the sites S_j

OUTPUT: Eigen Vectors

for each site j compute the local covariances **do**
    Compute μ_j mean of all columns of X_j data
    Compute the covariance matrix $C_{jj}^{pq} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i=n}(X_{j_i}^p - \mu_j^p)(X_{j_i}^q - \mu_j^q)}{n-1}$ where, μ_j^p, μ_j^q is the mean of the p_{th} and q_{th} column of the the X_j matrix.
end for
if the number of sites is say $t = 2$ **then**
    Send X_0 of S_0 to S_1 and calculate the cross covariances C_{01}
end if
if the number of sites is more than 2 **then**
    Send X_j of S_j to S_k as follows
    if the number of sites is even say $t = 2r, r > 1$ **then**
        for k=0 to (t-1) **do**
            if k $\leq$ (r-1) **then**
                p=k
                for i=1 to (r-1) **do**
                    j= **Predecessor(P)**
                    print(j)
                    p=j
                end for
            end if
            if k $\geq$ r **then**
                p=k
                for i=1 to r **do**
                    j=**Predecessor(P)**
                    print(j)
                    p=j
                end for
            end if
        end for
    end if
    if the number of sites is odd say $t = (2r + 1), r \geq 1$ **then**
        for k=0 to (t-1) **do**
            p=k
            for i=1 to r **do**
                j=**Predecessor(P)**
                print(j)
                p=j
            end for
        end for
    end if
end if
Compute the cross covariances $C_{jk}^{uv} = C(S_j^u, S_k^v); \quad u = 1, 2, 3, ...m_j^r; \; v = 1, 2, 3, ...m_k^r, \; j \neq k$

Algorithm 2 : Predecessor

INPUT: node k

OUTPUT: predecessor node

1: **if** k = 0 **then**
2: return$(t-1)$
3: **else**
4: return$(k-1)$
5: **end if**

$$\begin{pmatrix} xx & xy & xz & xw & xv \\ - & yy & yz & yw & yv \\ - & - & zz & zw & zv \\ - & - & - & ww & wv \\ - & - & - & - & vv \end{pmatrix}$$

4.2.1 Computation of Global Covariance matrix by DCM

Local Covariance of n_0, say lc_0

$$\begin{pmatrix} xx & xy \\ - & yy \end{pmatrix}$$

Local Covariance of n_1, say lc_1

$$\begin{pmatrix} zz & zw \\ - & ww \end{pmatrix}$$

Local Covariance of n_2, say lc_2

$$\begin{pmatrix} vv \end{pmatrix}$$

Cross Covariance of n_0 and n_1, say cc_{01}

$$\begin{pmatrix} xz & xw \\ yz & yw \end{pmatrix}$$

Cross Covariance of n_1 and n_2, say cc_{12}

$$\begin{pmatrix} zv \\ wv \end{pmatrix}$$

Cross Covariance of n_0 and n_2, say cc_{02}

$$\begin{pmatrix} xv \\ yv \end{pmatrix}$$

Global Covariance matrix by merging the local and cross covariances as given below would be equivalent to the matrix calculated by centralized approach.

$$\begin{pmatrix} lc_0 & cc_{01} & cc_{02} \\ - & lc_1 & cc_{12} \\ - & - & lc_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

4.3 The efficient communication among the nodes

The data is communicated among the sites in such a manner so that the resources are used in an efficient way. The computational load is also balanced among the sites to have the good speed-up. When the number of sites are even i.e. $2r$, the first r sites will receive the data from their immediate $(r-1)$ predecessors. Then the remaining r sites will receive the data from their immediate r predecessors. Sharing of this data by communicating among the sites is illustrated in Figure 2, when the number of sites say t = 4. Here the value of r = 2. So the first 2 sites S_0 and S_1 will receive the data from its immediate $(r-1)$ predecessors i.e. S_0 will receive data from S_3 and S_1 will receive data from S_0 . The next 2 sites S_2 and S_3 will receive the data from its immediate r predecessors i.e. S_2 will receive the data from S_1 , S_0 and S_1 will receive the data from S_0 , S_4.

When the number of sites are odd, all the $(2r+1)$ sites will receive the data from their immediate r predecessors. Sharing of this data by communicating among the sites is illustrated in Figure 3, when the number of sites say t = 5. Here the value of r = 2. So all the 5 sites from S_0 to S_4 will receive the data from its immediate r predecessors i.e. S_0 will receive the data from S_4 and S_3 , S_1 will receive the data from S_0 and S_4 , S_2 will receive the data from S_1 and S_0 , S_3 will receive data from S_2 and S_1. Therefore the number of transfers of the sites of the data will be at most r in all the cases. It is not required that all the sites should have the data of remaining sites.

4.4 Speed-Up of DCM

4.4.1 Computational time of Centralized Approach

In centralized version let the data is available in a single matrix

$$[\mathbf{X}]_{l\times m} = (X_0, X_1, X_2, ...X_{t-1})$$

where data X_j is a $l \times m_j$ matrix residing at the site S_j and $m = \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} m_j$ Let the computational time of centralized approach is denoted as T_c

$$T_c = \frac{m(m-1)}{2}$$

4.4.2 Computational time of DCM

As the data is distributed among t sites and the sites are labeled as S_0, S_1, S_{t-1} .

$$[\mathbf{X}]_{l\times m} = (X_0, X_1, X_2, ...X_{t-1})$$

Let computational time of global/distributed covariance matrix is denoted as T_d , computational time of (local covariances) as T_l , computational time of (Cross covariances) as T_{cr} and the communication cost as T_{cm}

$$T_d = T_l + T_{cr} + T_{cm}$$

$$= Max(\frac{m_j(m_j-1)}{2}) + Max(\sum_{k=0}^{k=t-1}\sum_{i}((m_k \times m_i) + m_i))$$

where i is the predecessors of k as explained in the 4th step of Section IV.A

4.4.3 Speed-Up

Let us denote the Speed-Up by S

$$S = \frac{T_c}{T_d}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}{Max(\frac{m_j(m_j-1)}{2}) + Max(\sum_{k=0}^{k=t-1}\sum_{i}((m_k \times m_i) + m_i))}$$

Consider the t sites with each of Γ columns of data .

$$T_c = \frac{(t\Gamma)(t\Gamma - 1)}{2}$$

$$T_l = \frac{(\Gamma)(\Gamma - 1)}{2}$$

$$T_{cr} = \Gamma r,\ T_{cm} = \Gamma r$$

$$T_d = \frac{\frac{(t\Gamma)(t\Gamma-1)}{2}}{\frac{(\Gamma)(\Gamma-1)}{2} + \Gamma r + \Gamma r}$$

$$= \frac{t(t\Gamma - 1)}{\Gamma - 1 + 4r}$$

Case1: $t = 2r$ (even)

$$= \frac{(2r)(2r\Gamma - 1)}{(\Gamma - 1) + 4r}$$

$$= \frac{4r^2\Gamma - 2r}{4r + \Gamma - 1}$$

Case2: $t = 2r + 1$ (odd)

$$= \frac{(2r + 1)((2r + 1)\Gamma - 1)}{(\Gamma - 1) + 4r}$$

$$= \frac{4r^2\Gamma + (1 + 4r)\Gamma - 2r - 1}{4r + \Gamma - 1}$$

In both the cases speed-up will be at least r times.

5 Experimental Analysis

We implemented the algorithm with the data set Mfeat, taken from UCI machine learning repository https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets.html. Mfeat data consists of 2000 rows and are distributed in six data files as follows [18] :

1. mfeat-fac: 216 profile correlations;



Figure 1: The Architecture



Figure 2: The number of nodes are say 4(even):Sending the data of j^{th} site to k^{th} site.



Figure 3: The number of nodes are say 5(odd):Sending the data of j^{th} site to k^{th} site.

2. mfeat-fou: 76 Fourier coefficients of the character;

3. mfeat-kar: 64 KarhunenLove coefficients;

4. mfeat-mor: 6 morphological features;

5. mfeat-pix: 240 pixel averages in 2 x 3 windows;

6. mfeat-zer: 47 Zernike moments.

The algorithm is implemented using Java Agent DEvelopment framework (JADE) [19]. Each site data is downloaded to a node which are connected over the network. So the number of computational nodes is equal to the number of sites. The communication is established among them using JADE to transfer the data.

In our analysis the vertical partitions are considered from 2 to 6 which is shown in Table 1. The computational time of local and cross covariances are shown in Table II- Table VI for the partitions 6, 5, 4, 3, 2 respectively. The cross covariances are chosen as explained in Section IV.A, step 4. In Table VII the communication cost for a given site for sending its predecessors data is shown. In Table VIII, the computational time of centralized and distributed approaches are compared. The computational time of distributed approach is calculated from Table II-Table VI and from Table VII for various partitions as explained in Section IV.C.2 . In our analysis DCM is compared with centralized approach, the result is exactly same as shown in Fig 4. Because we are not losing any data but getting the distributed covariance matrix by merging the local and cross covariances. The speed-up is shown in Fig 5. It is observed that the speed-up is increasing with the number of partitions hence scalable. This is because of increase in parallel computations along with the number of partitions. There is an elevation in speed up when the number of partitions are ≥ 5 which promises that it works well even with number of partitions are increasing.

Table 1: The various partitions considered for distributed computation

Dataset	Rows	Cols	No.of Partitions	Cols considered at each node/site
Mfeat	2000	648	2	Fact-Fou-Kar, Mor-Pix-Zer
			3	Fact,Fou-Kar,Mor-Pix-Zer
			4	Fact,Fou-Kar,Mor-Pix,Zer
			5	Fact,Fou,Kar,Mor-Pix,zer
			6	Fact,Fou,Kar,Mor,Pix,zer

Table 2: Distributed Computational time when number of partitions=6

Dataset	Local Covariances	Cross Covariances		
S_0:Fact	S_0S_0 : 3439	S_0S_5 : 1500	S_0S_4 : 3165	-
S_1:Fou	S_1S_1 : 708	S_1S_5 : 796	S_1S_0 : 1877	-
S_2:Kar	S_2S_2 : 684	S_2S_1 : 896	S_2S_0 : 1301	-
S_3:Mor	S_3S_3 : 250	S_3S_2 : 526	S_3S_1 : 488	S_3S_0 : 804
S_4:Pix	S_4S_4 : 3822	S_4S_3 : 647	S_4S_2 : 1963	S_4S_1 : 1965
S_5:Zer	S_5S_5 : 528	S_5S_4 : 1548	S_5S_3 : 436	S_5S_2 : 749

Table 3: Distributed Computational time when number of partitions=5

Dataset	Local Covariances	Cross Covariances	
S_0:Fact	S_0S_0 : 3439	S_0S_4 : 1500	S_0S_3 : 3142
S_1:Fou	S_1S_1 : 708	S_1S_4 : 796	S_1S_0 : 1877
S_2:Kar	S_2S_2 : 684	S_2S_1 : 896	S_2S_0 : 1301
S_3:Mor-Pix	S_3S_3 : 4186	S_3S_2 : 1445	S_3S_1 : 2081
S_4:Zer	S_4S_4 : 528	S_4S_3 : 1543	S_4S_2 : 749

Table 4: Distributed Computational time when number of partitions=4

Dataset	Local Covariances	Cross Covariances	
S_0:Fact	S_0S_0 : 3439	S_0S_3 : 1500	-
S_1:Fou-Kar	S_1S_1 : 1415	S_1S_0 : 2354	-
S_2:Mor-Pix	S_2S_2 : 4186	S_2S_1 : 3400	S_2S_0 : 3142
S_3:Zer	S_3S_3 : 528	S_3S_2 : 1543	S_3S_1 : 1013

Table 5: Distributed Computational time when number of partitions=3

Dataset	Local Covariances	Cross Covariances
S_0 : Fact	S_0S_0 : 3439	S_0S_2 : 3542
S_1:Fou-Kar	S_1S_1 : 1415	S_1S_0 : 2354
S_2:Mor-Pix-Zer	S_2S_2 : 3704	S_2S_1 : 2108

Table 6: Distributed Computational time when number of partitions=2

Dataset	Local Covariances	Cross Covariances
S_0:Fact-Fou-Kar	S_0S_0 : 3570	S_0S_1 : 2561
S_1:Mor-Pix-Zer	S_1S_1 : 3704	-

Table 7: The Communication cost of sending predecessors (in milliseconds)

No.Of Partitions	Site	Predecessors Cost
6	S_0 : Fact	S_5: 430 S_4: 2165
	S_1 : Fou	S_0 : 1950 S_1 : 430
	S_2 : Kar	S_1 : 685 S_0: 1950
	S_3 : Mor	S_2 : 570 S_1 : 685 S_0 : 1950
	S_4 : Pix	S_3 : 45 S_2: 570 S_1 : 685
	S_5 : Zer	S_3: 45 S_2 : 570 S_1: 685
5	S_0 : Fact	S_4 : 430 S_3 : 2240
	S_1 : Fou	S_0 : 1950 S_4 : 430
	S_2 : Kar	S_1: 685 S_0: 1950
	S_3 : Mor-Pix	S_2 : 570 S_1: 685
	S_4 : Zer	S_3: 2240 S_2: 570
4	S_0 : Fact	S_3 : 430
	S_1 : Fou-Kar	S_0 : 1950
	S_2 : Mor-Pix	S_1 : 1280 S_4: 1950
	S_3 : Zer	S_2: 2240 S_4: 1280
3	S_0 : Fact	S_2: 2660
	S_1 : Fou-Kar	S_0 : 1950
	S_2 : Mor-Pix-Zer	S_1 : 1280
2	S_0 : Fact-Fou-Kar	S_1 : 2660
	S_1 : Mor-Pix-Zer	-

Table 8: Comparison of Computational time(in milliseconds) of centralized and distributed versions

Dataset	No.Of Partitions	Centralized	Distributed
Mfeat	2	8855	8791
	3	9937	9641
	4	15311	13958
	5	15582	11498
	6	18486	9347



(a) Centralized



(b) Distributed

Figure 4: Covariance Estimations of Mfeat Data Set



Figure 5: Speed-Up of DCM

6 Conclusions

We propose an algorithm DCM which estimates the global covariance matrix by merging the local and cross covariances that are distributed at different nodes/sites. Experimental results show that the result of DCM is exactly same as the centralized approach with good speed-up. The final output of DCM is same as centralized approach because we are not losing any data. The computational time of DCM is decreasing along the increased number of partitions. DCM is also capable of handling large data sets based on parallel calculations of vertical partitions, hence scalable. The speed-up can be further increased by making number of columns equal at every node/site and also computing the cross covariances parallely within the node/site.

Acknowledgment

We are thankful for the support provided by the Department of CSIS, BITS-Pilani, K.K. Birla Goa Campus to carry out the experimental analysis and also to Sreejith.V, BITS-Pilani, K.K.Birla Goa Campus for useful discussions.

References

[1] http://science.nasa.gov/missions/coral/

[2] https://swot.jpl.nasa.gov/mission/

[3] www.jpl.nasa.gov/wise

[4] Large Synoptic Survey Telescope www.lsst.org

[5] ttps://www.skatelescope.org/project/

[6] http://science.nasa.gov/about-us/smd-programs/joint-agency-satellite-division/

[7] http://www.aacr.org/AboutUs/Pages/default.aspx

[8] Kanishka Bhaduri, Kamalika Das, Kirk Borne et al *Scalable, Asynchronous,Distributed Eigen-Monitoring of Astronomy Data Streams* Proceedings of the 2009 SIAM International Conference on Data Mining. pp 247-258.

[9] M Weske, M Shacid, C Godart(Eds) *Data in Astronomy : From the Pipeline to the Virtual Observatory WISE 2007 workshops, LNCS 4832, pp 52-62.*

[10] H Dutta, C Giannella, K Borne et al. *Distributed Top-K Outlier Detection from Astronomy Catalogs using the DEMAC System* In Proceedings of SDM07, 2007, pp 473-478.

[11] CJ Hsieh, IS Dhillon, P Ravikumar, A Banerjee *A divide-and-conquer procedure for sparse inverse covariance estimation* Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 25, 2012 pages 2330-2338.

[12] Nikolaus Hautsch1, Lada M. Kyj and Roel C. A. Oomen *A blocking and regularization approach to high-dimensional realized covariance estimation*. Journal of Applied Econometrics Volume 27, Issue 4, pages 625645, June/July 2012.

[13] Zheng Hao *Large Dimensional Covariance Matrix Estimation with Decomposition-based Regularization* https://books.google.co.in/books?id=SsL2jgEACAAJ, pages 129, 2014.

[14] Qi Guo, Bo-Wei Chen, Feng Jiang, Xiangyang Ji, Sun-Yuan Kung *Efficient Divide-And-Conquer Classification Based on Feature-Space Decomposition* IEEE Systems Journal

[15] Du Jian , Ng TS, Wu Yc *Distributed estimation in large-scale networks : theories and applications* http://hdl.handle.net/10722/197090, 2013.

[16] Hsieh, Cho-Jui and Sustik, Matyas A and Dhillon, Inderjit S and Ravikumar, Pradeep K and Poldrack, Russell *Sparse Inverse Covariance Estimation for a Million Variables* Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 26, 2013 .

[17] Aruna Govada, Bhavul Gauri ,Sanjay.K.Sahay *Distributed Multi-Class SVM for Large Data Sets* Proceedings of the Third International Symposium on Women in Computing and Informatics Cochi,India August 10-13,2015, Pages 54-58 published by ACM.

[18] Mfeat Data set on UCI Machine Learning Repository : https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Multiple+Features

[19] Java Agent DEvelopment framework : jade.tilab.com